The Cost of Negating Aggregation

Fixing the Dysfunctional Relationship Between Water & Soil

By Brian DeVore

Rancher Alejandro Carrillo likes to say that, “it’s not how much rain you get, it’s what you do with it.” He should know. In the early 2000s, soon after he returned to his family’s livestock operation in the Chihuahuan Desert of northern Mexico, the area got 20 inches of rain, which is double what the region normally gets in a typical year. So much precipitation would be a bonanza for the forage the cow-calf herd feeds on at the 30,000-acre Las Damas Ranch, right? In reality, all that extra rain did little good. That’s because it was falling on hard, compacted soil that had been damaged by years of overgrazing.

Carrillo’s story, which he shared while in Minnesota recently to present at a series of Land Stewardship Project soil health workshops, illustrates one of the key roles building healthy soil plays in creating resilient farms and ranches: its ability to absorb and store water and eventually make it available to growing plants when they need it. That capacity has become especially critical to farmers in recent years as they grapple with the extreme precipitation events wrought by climate change. Water holding capacity is a big deal when too much rain threatens to swamp the landscape, sending soil and agrichemicals racing off fields. It’s also a big deal when there’s too little rain, as farmers learned in many parts of the Midwest during the 2021 drought. This weather whiplash has become the new normal.

“We’re preparing for a future that both has too much water and not enough water, and often those things are co-occurring in the same season,” says Natalie Hoidal, a University of Minnesota Extension educator. Fortunately, farmers have proven they can use methods such as managed rotational grazing, cover cropping, no-till, and diverse rotations to build soil organic matter — the energy-rich portion of the soil profile that’s made up of plant and animal residue, along with the tissues of living and dead microorganisms. There is a direct correlation between a soil’s water holding capacity and the amount of organic matter present.

Just how direct that connection is may be debatable. Up until the 1990s, many soil scientists felt the link was tenuous, at best. But in 1994, a Journal of Soil and Water Conservation analysis found that as the organic matter increased from 1% to 3% across all types of soils, the available water holding capacity of soil roughly doubled. The USDA’s Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) has widely publicized the figure that a 1% increase in organic matter in the top six inches of soil adds roughly 25,000 to 27,000 gallons of water holding capacity per acre. Over the years, that statistic has been cited repeatedly, including by the Land Stewardship Project.

To muddy the waters, in 2018 a European Journal of Soil Science analysis of the soil science literature tamped down the 1994 study’s claims, concluding that a 1% increase in soil organic matter increases available water holding capacity by just 1.16%. The authors of that paper concluded that there are a lot of good reasons to build organic matter in the soil — it sequesters carbon, for example — but increasing water holding capacity may not be one of them. Meanwhile, this year a February Soil Science of America Journal study waded into the fray and concluded that in fact a deep analysis of the latest science shows the relationship between organic matter levels and water holding capacity may be vastly underappreciated.

Confused? University of Minnesota soil scientist Anna Cates says when making connections between organic matter levels and water holding capacity, it’s important to take into account different soil types — finer textured soils may respond much differently than heavier soils, for example. Cates, who is the state soil health specialist with the Minnesota Office for Soil Health, calculates that in a medium-textured soil, increasing organic matter levels by 1% provides 3,400 gallons per acre of extra water at a 12-inch depth. That may not be as impressive as what the NRCS has been
promoting, but it’s still significant. The scientist says it’s important to look beyond the first few inches of topsoil when measuring a field’s ability to hold and manage water.

“At depth it is really clear the soil health systems are storing more water,” she says.

The role soil “aggregates” play in water holding capacity should also be considered. Aggregates are groups of particles that bind to each other more strongly than to adjacent particles. The gaps between aggregates provide pore space for retention and exchange of air and water. Aggregate structure can be destroyed by intense tillage and overgrazing.

Cates says a farmer may get improvements in water holding capacity which are not directly related to changes in organic matter levels, but are linked to organic matter function and structure. The good news is that the same practices that can increase soil organic matter can also fortify aggregate structure.

“Soil organic matter is critical for forming aggregates, and aggregates are critical for holding water,” says the scientist.

Improved aggregate structure can occur in a few growing seasons, while organic matter increases are measured in years or decades. A lot of quick aggregate structure can be created during those slower years of organic matter improvement.

**A Welcome Reception for Rain**

While the science may remain a bit unsettled, farmers are nonetheless finding a direct connection between building soil health and increasing water holding capacity — that applies from the arid Southwest to the humid Upper Midwest. Alejandro Carrillo, the Mexican rancher, knew something had to be done to improve his soil’s water holding capacity after a bounty of rain yielded few positive results in his pastures. “When it rains, it doesn’t rain grass,” he says.

Over the years, the rancher has built up his land’s organic matter by implementing an intense system of managed rotational grazing. Frequent movement has allowed the grasses and other forages to recover from the animal impact and develop deep root systems that can store precipitation. Perhaps most importantly, such a system of grazing is building the soil’s aggregate structure, allowing it to make use of any amount of precipitation that might be falling.

The soil at Las Damas can now soak up water at a rate of 18-20 inches per hour; a neighboring ranch has an infiltration rate of only 2 inches per hour. That generates a direct financial payoff: Las Damas now has a 550-head cow-calf herd, which is triple what the family used to be able to run on the same acres. Carrillo says net revenue has increased 350% since the early 2000s.

One method they’ve been using is a roller crimper to control weeds without the use of chemicals or tillage. It creates a heavy mulch between soybean rows, which not only controls weeds but reduces the amount of moisture that leaves the surface on hot days. Staff with the local Soil and Water Conservation District have examined the Jovaags’ soil and say its aggregate structure is recovering after decades of intense tillage and compaction.

That’s paying off at both ends of the weather extreme spectrum. During a particularly wet spring, the Jovaags noticed one of their tile lines was not emptying water into the nearby Cedar River. That was odd, because the field it ran under was usually “notoriously wet.” When they planted soybeans in the field, the farmers ran into no wet spots.

And on a hot summer day during a different growing season, Jon was surprised to see other irrigation rigs in his neighborhood running, while his stood idle. He assumed that his irrigators had failed to be activated because sensors that measured soil moisture were malfunctioning. But it turned out the sensors were right — he had plenty of moisture to spare, even if his neighbors’ fields were dry.

“Were able to go about another 10 days before we ran that irrigator,” recalls the farmer. “Even where the irrigator didn’t hit, those soybeans still produced pretty well. And so, soil health, I mean it’s just key to everything working as a system.”

**Give it a Listen**

On episode 268 of the Land Stewardship Project’s *Ear to the Ground* podcast, organic cropping expert Léa Ver-eecke, soil conservationist Steve Lawler, and farmer Jon Jovaag talk about how the roller crimper system can help extend the benefits of a cover crop: landstewardship-project.org/podcast/ear-to-the-ground-268-rolling-down-the-cc-river.

On episode 270, Alejandro Carrillo discusses how he brought his family’s ranch back to life by building soil health: landstewardshipproject.org/podcast/ear-to-the-ground-270-it-doesnt-rain-grass.